Working Draft: Do Not Cite

STRATEGIC PRIMORDIALISM: HOW POLITICAL PARTIES ORGANIZE IDENTITY POLITICS

Shaheen Mozaffar. Ph.D. Professor Emeritus Department of Political Science Bridgewater State University Bridgewater, MA 02325

smozaffar@brigdew.edu

Prepared fopresentation at the State of the Parties Virtual Conference Ray C. Bliss Institute of Applied Politics University of Akron November 4±5, 2021

STRATEGIC PRMORDIALISM: HOW POLITICAL PARTIES ORGANIZE IDENTITY POLITICS

Identities are not fixed essences; they are strategic assertions Dorinne Kondo (2009)

An identity is questioned only when it is menaced, as when the mighty begin to fall, or when the wretched begin to rise, or when the stranger enters the gates, never, thereafter to be a stranger:

James Baldwin (1976)

On November 2, 2021, Boston made history by electing $M_{ic}OOH : X DV WKH FLW \ V II and Asian American Mayor.$

After the incumbent Mayor Marty Walsh was appointed by President Biden as Labor Secretary, KH ZDV UHSODFHG RQ DQ DFWLQJ EDVLV E\ .LP -DQH\ DV %I Janey lostni the Democratic primary, which was won by two women, Michelle WuAammood EssaibiGeorge, both members of the City Council, who faced each other in the November 2 JHQHUDO HOHFWLRQ IRU 0D\RU :KDW PDNHV WKWas% RVWRQ that, besides their gender, Michelle Wu aammood EssaibGeorge are Americaborn daughters of immigrant parents.

: X¶V SDUHQWV LPPLJUDWHG IURP 7DLZDQ DQG KHU SK\VLR American. Essaibi* HRUJH¶V IDW Krahhtfrom VTuDisQa and Phetenhother is an immigrant from Poland, and she identifies herself as Portiset American. But the press routinely describes her as a person of color, a designation which Essectible has often accepted, but for which she has alsocen criticized because her physiognomy easily identifies her to be white, prompting the Boston Globe KHDGOLQH-Ameticans Bed DeE of Color?

Political philosopher Kwame Appiah begins his brilliant boloks, *Than Bind: Rethinking Identity* (Appiah 2018), with stories of taxdrivers mistaking him as Brazilian and addressing KLP LQ 3 RUWXJXHVH LQ 6 DR 3 DXOR LGHQWLI\LQJ KLP DV ³8 and a Maghrebi from Belgium in Paris, and expressing skepticism in Wtashiangd London that he cannot speak Hindi.

These two stories, which can be repeated many times, point up the malleability and contingency of identity, and the ambiguity of physiognomy as an ide**mtity** ker. The Boston story also highlights the intrinsic poblems in the way identity is conceptualized, analyzed and understood in the United States ordinary people, journalists, and scholars. These problems are best captured by two statements. The first statement, made by politicians of all ideological strip LV ³7 KDW LV QRW ZKR ZH DUH ´ RU PRUH SRVLWLYHO\ ³7 KLV LV ZKR ZH and understanding of identity (Smith 2020). The second statement, usually made in learned discourse, that identity, including, especially, risceocially constructed. The second statement is made with the sense that simply making it validates the claim embodied in it. Almost never is the statement followed with even a minimal explanation of how identities, including race, are constructed.

In this paper, braw on the insights of the comparative politics literature on identity to sketch elements of a theoretical framework that might help to clarify the analytical (conceptual, theoretical and methodological) issues in the systematic studyn**bityde** and use these insights to examine how American political parties organize identity politics. With rare exceptions (Egan 2020), the comparative politics literature on identity and the study of identity politics in the United States have proceeded**sio**lation. But the voluminous literature on identity politics in the United States generally, and on partisanship as social identity (Greene, Palmer and Schickler 2002; Mason 2018) and the emergence of white identity politics in particular (Cramer 2016; Jardina 2019; Sides, Tesler and Vavreck 2018) reveal issues that lend themselves to improved analysis with the analytical insights of the comparative politics scholarship on identity.

Comparative Insights on Identity

The accumulated findings of over threecades of comparative research on identity and politics reflect a strong consensus among scholars on three related analytical issues: (1) Identity is a variable; (3) Identity is endogenous to the political process and institutions that structure politics and (4) Identity is constructed. I will discuss the variability of identity as a separate topic, but I will combine the discussion of identity as endogenous and identity as constructed.

The Variability of Identity ¹

The variability of identity is intimately tied to the definition of identity. Definitions of identity abound and I will not review them here. I will instead proceed pragmatically with a utilitarian

extreme cases, eliminate, the malleability **centulty**. This conflict, in other words, are attempts to primordialize a social construct and to naturalize a human artifact.

The futility of a primordialist conception and understanding of identity also suggests the need for a constructivist conception and derstanding of identity that treats the formation, maintenance and transformation of identities as the outcome of social, economic and political processes.

Constructivism and the Endogeneity of dentity

One would be hard put to find reference to a **constiv**ist approach that treats identities as endogenous to politics in the voluminous literature on identity and politics in the United States.

The dominant approach, however, betrays an unwarranted degree of reflexivity in the process of self-categorization that initially signals the potentially relevant cotions between the person DQG WKH WDUJHW LGHQWLW\ JURXS DQG WKH SURFHVV RI assimilation into the group based on the mutual affinity of attributes embodied by the person and the identitygroup member(Hogg and Terry 2000). But the initial *decision* to join the identity group derives from the *ognition* that the members of the target group possess the relevant attributes that invite the decision to join. That decision involves choice, choice suggests availability of alternative information, and choice and availability of alternative information imply the exercise of rationality in linking the expected emotional and psychic satisfaction derived from joining the identitygroup.

Scholars working in political psychologithat heavily informs the dominant approach point to important developments in cognitive psychology in correctly rejecting the utility of rational choice explanations (uddy, Sears and Levy (2013); Chong (201But this rejection amounts to throwing the baby out of the bathwater, for the rejection is more appropriate for the earlier FUXGH YHUVLRQ RI ³ WKLQ ´ UDWLRQDO FKRLFH WKHRU\ WKD Insights from cognitive psychology on the limits of decisionaking capture by such processes DV ³ E RXQGH G SunDrW 95R, @boog 200 and judgements under certain (vance) for the reality of imperfection instead to a more realisticategic rational choice approach that takes into account the reality of imperfection, the computational limits on information processing, and the associated use of heuristics, concepts that can fruitfully clarify key aspects of identity construction, stability and change, such as the subjective meaning of identities, gradations identity strength considerable stability of social and political identities (Huddy 2001).

The dominant approach moreover elides over the nstitutional incentives and the associated strategic rationality that motivate the choice of parties, politice mosvoters whether to activate the varied social identities (racial, ethnic, religious) separately or jointly, or to separate or fuse FXOWXUDOLVVXHV DQG HFRQRPLF LQWHUHVWV , Q 0DVRQ example, it is unclear wheth the impact of social sorting on the forging of partisanship as an all-encompassing social identity and the resulting political polarization in the United States was the result of autonomous calculations by voters or the result of elite rhetoricoting and elite polarization. In a nuanced and hence a more realistic sterds dusky (200) shows that the joint ideological sorting and political polarization are elite phenomena that is reflected in citizens sorting themselves ideologically but with

social identities are inextricably tied to the political process and the institutinat structure politics in the United States. And even when an otherwise excellent boold dit being Crisis

6LGHV 7HVOHU DQG 9DYUHFN SDLQVWDNLQJO\GHWD identities, especially racial identities, time 2016 presidential campaign, the analysis never V\VWHPDWLFDOO\FODULILHV WKH PHFKDQLVPV E\ZKLFK 'R campaign strategies combined with the heightened salience and politicization of race during %DUDFN 2EDPDI% ampaign Havid presidently (Desler and Sears 2010) contributed to that politicization.

Identity politics and the politics of identity, then, are not mutually exclusive approaches Incorporating their complementary insights, however, requires casting athedeetical lens than allowed by the behavioral approach that underpins the extant literature on identity politics. I discuss the elements of this framework in the next section,

A caveat. The framework below is not a full dged framework from which excan draw testable.(w)potostical dgents(betap) to the framework is part of my larger project on the politics of identity. The discussion below should, therefore, be viewed a suggestive

A Preliminary Theoretical Framework

The central insight of constructivism is that social identities are constructed in the course of social, economic and political processes. I first compare constructivism with primordialism, the alternative conceptioand understanding of identity. Constructivism emerged as a powerful and VXFFHVVIXO FRUUHFWLYH WR SULPRUGLDOLVP¶V FRUH DVV I then discuss key concepts that comprise my theoretical framework the distinction of the dist

What is Primordial ism?

Primordialism is thedea (ideology?) hat identitied s3 12 Tf 156(ideo12 792 rls)7(i1Ao Q q 0.0000091)

behavior, fnseor n(r)-6mts of recmprcit()-9(a)4(nd)-912 792(li)-3gnatiothat imse rlde2r on the chas of social niteractio(s)9d (whic)4((,)10()-9(i00091utut)18(r)-6n(,)10()-9v(a)4(ti)-3((a)4(te)-7(the)-7(t)18(mz)-7(t)) = 27

Pavlovianreflexivity that animates the primordial allegiance and dedication to the being

political processes through which the aiges for identification are sustained, contested, and negotiated.

These insights suggest the need for a theoretical framework that rejects a simplistic instrumentalism in which political agents manipulate identities in any way they please to maximize thei interests, and acknowledges structural, institutional and strategic constraints on agency in the construction of social identities. Agency is thus important in the construction of social identities. And agency involves choice. But agents construit is but not under conditions of their choosing. The concept of constrained constructivism usefully captures these insights.

The Logic of Constrained Constructivism³

[Figure 1 Here]

Figure1 displays the logic constrained constructivism. The central logic of constrained constructivism is that ethnopolitical group and identity construction is quintessentially a strategic activity of cultural artisanship, but one that is constrained bymbination of socialtructural, institutional and strategic factors. The strategic cultural artisanship that animates social identity construction involves the selection of objective identity markers from the available portfolio of varied cultural elements that comprise plural societies and their configuration into a composite criterion for drawing cultural boundaries that simultaneously assimilate and differentiate individuals into distinct identity groups and invest them with normative significantegalitical salience. The configuration of multiple identity markers into a composite criterion of social GLIIHUHQWLDWLRQ DQG DVVLPLODWLRQ LV VSHFLILFDOO\ D of a multiplicity of symbols and of striving fornulti-symbol congruence among a group of SHRSOH GHILQHG LQLWLDOO (Elras SR1Q941: 280) The Skriving for HmQ1WUDO V symbol congruence, if successful, results in the construction of conceptually parsimonious composite identity ethnorbitical groups (Hispanic, AsiaAmerican, Muslim, AfroAmerican, White, Christians) that subsume important ingroup differences (Cubans and Mexicans, Chinese and Koreans, Sunnis and Shiites, Irish and Italians, Catholics, Protestants and Evangelicals).

Social-Structural Constraints

Variations in the presence and in the indicators of intra

Institutional Constraints

In the United States, the census has been the principal institutional source of identity construction. For example, resus designations reconstitute the culturally distinct identities of Puerto Ricans, Cubans and Mexicans into a broader Hispanic identity. But the political salience RIWKHVH LGHQWLWLHV YDULHV ZLWK WKH FRite Shapes ¶ V LQV the expression of common political demands of the broadly defined Special population at the national level, but the culturally distinct Puerto Rican, Cuban and Mexican identities encourage separate affiliations and foster competitioncial politics (Nelson and Tienda 1985).

\$PHULFD¶V LQVWLWXWLRQDO SOXUDOLVP DOVR DOORZHG I ORFDO ³LQVWLWXWLRQDO F⁴RTRissiotheWlatsicLresideOtial settlement PPXQLW SDWWHUQF QHEHIWEKRQUKRRG´ WKDW HPHUJHG LQ PDMRU 86 F European immigrants in the thCentury. In addition to reducing the transaction costs of new DUULYDOV LQ DFFHVVLQJ HFRQRPLF UHVRXtuffidntallyLQ DQ XQI FRPSOHWH´ FRPPXQLWLHV UHFRQVWLWXWHG WKHLU HUVWZ into a broader hyphenated identities (Italäamerican, IrishAmerican, etc.) in the context political competition for powers and resources. Asimuce local institutions (parties, elections, municipal governments) mediated access to these resources, ethnic entrepreneurs had the incentive to mobilize their identity communities to control these institutions.

Thus, while the institutional framework federalism permitted the existence of functionally delimited ethnic communities in urban centers, local political institutions, also constituted and legitimized by national institutions, encouraged the political construction and mobilization of ethnicity as a politically relevant social identity in local politics. Such mobilization was crucial LQ WKH IRUPDWLRQ RI) UDQNOLQ 5 RRVHYHOW ¶V 1 HZ 'HDO F after the Depression, when the expanding role of the state in matomic company and the political institutions at all levels of the polity powerful resource allocation mechanisms.

Similarly, the separation of legislative, executive and judicial powers and festerial relations structured the political strategy of *incan*-Americans. After being repeatedly thwarted at the state level to secure full civil rights guaranteed in the Constitution at the state level and by the President and Congress, Africamericans were eventually successful in the federal courts.

⁴ ³, QVWLWXWLRQDO FRPSOHWHQHVV′UHIHUV WR WKthele htt i No. 10 ke htt i No.

The landmark Supreme Court decision *Brown v. Board of Education* began the process of dismantling the onerous discriminatory institutions that eventually resulted in the passage of the Civil Rights Act (1964) and the Voting Rights.

But these political achivements of AfricarAmericans occurred in the long shadow cast, and continues to be cast, by the most odious example of the institutional construction of identity in the country, the threfifths compromise. The politics surrounding the compromise is well know. What is important for my purposes, however, is that primordial biological definition of identity it created established the baseline conception of Black identity that has shaped American race relations. The Thirteenth Amendment only eliminatedes/athe material and empirical foundation of that identity, but did not erase the culturally inscribed meaning of racial inferiority fostered initially by the threfifths compromise. And as King and Smith (2005) have shown, that identity was sustained the racial institutional orders in the country after the Emancipation.

Institutions, then, present a combination of constraints and opportunities for the strategic construction, maintenance and transformation of social identities. How institutions performs crucial task is best captured by Mary Douglas in her book Institutions Think (Douglass 1986: 53):

>, @QVWLWXWLRQV « DUH IRXQGHG > RQ DQDORJ\@ ZLW naturalized, they are part of the order of the universeleso are ready to stand as grounds IRU DUJXPHQW « %\ XVLQJ IRUPDO DQDORJLHV WKDW H

First, interest heterogeneity transfers the starcost of social identity groups to cultural entrepreneurs who deploy their entrepreneurial skills (and entrepreneurship is a quintessential strategic activity) to invest the selected objective identity markers with symbolic significance for identity construction and interest definition and mobilize individual actors distinguished by those markers for collective action. Second, due to the lyslarge size of social identity groups, especially in large country like the United States where such groups are geographically spread and, indeed, whose individual may never know each personally, interest heterogeneity helps reduce the maintenance costethnopolitical groups over time, since the individual cost of supporting the group is lower in large groups. Joint production and maintenance of social identity thus becomes possible. Third, interdependence engenders conditional cooperation among ethnpolitical actors, whereby the benefits of group action accruing to individual actors depend on the collective benefits accruing to the whole group. In ongoing social identity group, HDFK DFWRU¶V LQWHUHVW LQ PXWXD QesFinRelRingshable DWLRQ LV W UHIHUHQFH' - RKQVRQ WR WKH RWKHU DFWRUV LQ individual suboptimal benefits from noncooperative behavior motivates the irredeemably conditional cooperative strategy of all social identiroup actors (Johnson 1988).

However, large social identity groups comprised of heterogeneous interests, even as they help to reduce the cost of individual contributions to group solidarity, render strategic rationality an insufficient

Strategic Primordialism

Given my exposition in support of constructivism as the correct theoretical approach to the study of identity, the notion of strategiprimordialism stands as a glaring oxymoron. In these concluding comments, I explain why the notion is a useful way to think about the vitriolic tone and dangerous discourse on identity in the country.

This paper is an initial attempt to fill the gap interact scholarship abolatow political parties organize identity politics and, generally, how identity is conceived and understood by scholars studying the relationship between identity and politics in the United States competing conceptions inform theolitical organization of identities and the conception and understanding of identity. (1) *Primordialism*, which views identities as fixed essences ineluctably reflected in physiognomy, values, attitudes and behavior, an (2) *tructivism*, which stresses the strategic malleability of identity stemming from ageleted activation of varied and observable identity constitutive markers to construct, maintain and transform social identities. Comparative scholarshipover the past three decades and **nhase** rejected primordialism in favor f constructivism, but without significant influence on the systematic analysis and understanding of identity politics in the United States. Primordialism, as a result, has become the default conception and explanation.

All social identities, and race and ethnicity in particular, lend themselves easily to a primordialist conception. The empirical markers that they embody and that ostensibly constitute identities represent cost ffective shortcuts that signal ineluctable callise kages between them and individual values, attitudes and behavior, and more broadly, social, economic and political outcomes. The eliance or primordialism in the study of identity politics in the United States, however, is not a function of **Plav** ian reflexivity. It is quintessentially strategic.

, HPSOR\WKHWHUP ³VWUDWHJLF SULPRUGLDOLVP'WR UHI groups to increase the social salience and political relevance of erstwhile latent or weakly mobilized varied idetity-FRQVWLWXWLYH PDUNHUV WR FRDOHVFH DUR identity that supersedes other sources of identity and suppresses associated interagroup differences. This oxymoronic construction enhances the explanatory powerted ist primordialism by highlighting the fundamental paradoxidentity politics in the United States

American exceptionalism derives from its aspirational founding that envisioned/theuction of a new national identity. The vision, of coursestils to be perfectly realized, and progress toward it has been fraught, as we have debated and fought over the nature and meaning of the constructed identity. While slavery and its legacy posed, and continues to pose, a fundamental challenge to this identity, the struggles of several generations of immigrants to contribute to its construction indicate the influence of competing conceptions and understanding of identity.

7 R G Ddefitive politics emerged as a response to the oppression, discrimination and marginalization of individuals and groups based on some ascriptive characteristicity (ace and ethnicity) that were previously defined in essentialist terms and the definition enshrined in law and policy, endowing the subjects with institutionalized dimensional primordial identity. This institutionalization structured the mobilization of identity politics, which was now framed and animated by the same essentialist ascriptive characteristics and associated identities that

formed the basis of theppression and marginalization in the first pla® calitical parties have UHIOHFWHG DQG VWUXFWXUHG WKLV YLFLRXV F\FOH LQ \$PH WKH LQVWLWXWLRQDOL]DWLRHG Campto Model Mise Michtigulituinal Carbo O VLQ ´LQ ostensibly represents an alternative to racism, but the two mirror each other in their primordial conception and understanding fidentity.

Primordialism as an approach to the systematic study of identity and politics is now widely rejected aross the human sciences and the human fitles fortunately, it continues to influence, perhaps unwittingly, the work of scholars who study identity politics in the United States. It continues to inform media narratives in which the journalistic pentoba using simplifying adjectives ignores the malleability of identities and gloss over their intrinsic characterological variability discussed above. And it is insidiously manifested in popular and academic discourse on diversity, authenticity and cultral appropriation.

The reason for the continued vilide hold of primordialismon our thinking about identity is the conceptual simplicity of assigning identity to readily observable physiognomy and related physical and behavioral tributes. It is motivated by a longing for an elusive certainty reflexively reflected in the simplifying narratives of everyday discourse (I am a Republican, said with pride; she is a Democrat, said with venom), even as we suspect, however reluctantly, that our identities are not fixed by nature, but artifacts of our own making that can be easily be deconstructed.

Hence, the imperative of strategic primordialism. But strategic primordialism is a fraught strategy, especially in the context of the current political polacization a hollowed but middle (Abramowitz 2010). Motivated reasoning is the everyday reflexive response. Over time, however, cultural entrepreneurs and political patters front the classic leadership dilemma of falling victim to their own sucf32 Tf Ip W X W L R<@H y. ñ 6tl ` Îa& ' a''æä®I'Ä ž@ LG " ä ¤" 6'O

WKHUHIRUH EH ILFWL-Woalserd Xevlatioon Qaoed PhotenesistelaupóllutaBatera Qolf/LW\ seeing becomes a way of not seeing

But agency is a doubledged sword. In a society based on freedom without ordered/libert DJHQWV EHFRPH ³UDWLRQDO IRROV´ DQG ³VRFLDO PRURQV´ recognized, in a society based on freedom tempered by ordered liberty, institutions can HQFRXUDJH VWUDWHJLFDOO\ UDWLRQDOdeDsobh) QvitW tVie WR FUDIW social and cultural endowments to transform swords into ploughshares.

References

Abdelal, Rawi et. al. 2006. "Identity as a Variable "rspectives on Politics, 4:695711

Abramowitz, Alan. 2010*The Disappearing Center: Engaged Citizens, Polarization and American Democracy.* New Have: Yale University Press.

Anderson, Benedict. 198 Bnagined Communities. New York: Verso.

Appiah, Kwame. 2018 *Lies That Bind: Rethinking Identity*. New York: W.W. Norton

Baldwin, James. 1976 *The Devil Finds Work*. New York: Vintage

Brass, Paul R. 1991 Ethnicity and Nationalism: Theory and Comparison. New Delhi: Sage.

% UHWRQ 5 D\PRQG ³, QVWLWXWLRQDO & RPSOHWHQHV 5 HODWLRQV RA*me*FidenLJohumDiQfWbb&tológy 70: 193205.

Brubaker, Rogers, Maria Loveman, and Peter Stamatov. 2004. Ethnicity as cognition. *and Society* 33: 31-64

Chandra, Kanchan. 201*Constructive Theories of Ethnic Politics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Chong, Dennis. 2013Degrees of Rationality in Politics," pp.9629. In The Oxford Handbook of Political Psychology. Oxford; Oxford University Press

Converse, Philip. 1964. "The Nature of Belief Systems in Mass Publics. In ildeology and Discontent edited by David Apter. New Ork: Free Press

Cramer, Kathleen. 2016 *The Politics of Resentment: Rural Consciousness in Wisconsin and the Rise of Scott Walker*. Chcago: University of Chiacgo Press

Cramer, Kathleen. 2016 *The Politics of Resentment: Rural Consciousness in Wisconsin and the Rise of Scott Walker*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press

Douglas, Mary C. 1966*Purity and Danger: Analysis of Concepts of Pollution and Taboo.* London: Routledge and Kegan Paul.

Douglas, Mary C. 1986*How Institutions Think*. Syracuse: Syracusehiversity Press.

Eck, Diana. 2001A New Reliious America: How a "Christian Country" Became the World's Most Religiously Diverse Nation. New York: Harper Collins

Egan, Patrick. 2020. "identity as a Dependent Variable: How Americans Shift Their Identities Align with Their Politics," *American Journal of Political Science* 64:3, pp.699716

Egan, Patrick. 2020. "identity as a Dependent Variable: How Americans Shift Their Identities to Align with Their Politics," *American Journal of Political Science* 64:3, pp.699716

Esman, Milton. 1994 *Ethnic Politics*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press

Fierke, Karin. 1996. "Multiple identities, interfacing Games," European Journal of International Relations, 2: 467498

Geertz, Clifford. 1981*Negara: The Theater State in Nineteenth Century Bali*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Green, Donald, Bradley Palmquist, and Eric Schickler. 2002 isan Hearst and Minds: Political Parties and Social Identities of Voters. New Haven: Yale University Press

Green, Donald, Badley Palmquist, and Eric Schickler. 2002 *rtisan Hearst and Minds: Political Parties and Social Identities of Voters. New Haven: Yale University Press*

Greene, Steven 1999. Understanding Party Identification: A Social Identity Approversity *Psychology*, Vol. 20, No. 2, 1999

* UHHQH 6 WHYHQ - 3 V \ F K7RKOHR 6 R F D D OO H D V X U H dritte Q W R I 3 D U W L Behavior, 2: 171197

Greene, Steven. 2 ³6 R F L D O , G H Q W L W \ 7 K H R U \ D Q G 3 R O L W L F D O , G Quarterly 85: 138153

Hobsbawm, Eric and Terrence Ranger eds. 1983. *Invention of Tradition*. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Hogg, Michael A. and Deborah J. Terry. 2005 Ocial Identity and SelCategorization Processes in Organizational Contex 154 & Academy of Management Review, Vol. 25: 121140

Huddy, Leonie and Alexa Bankert. 2017. "Political Partisanship as Social Identity," https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/97801**282**37.013.250

Huddy, Leonie, David Sears and Jack Levy. 2013. "Introduction: Theoretical Foundatsions of Political Psychology," pp.-**1**9. In *The oxford Handbook of Political Psychology*. Oxford; Oxford University Press

Huddy, Leonie. 2001. "From social **political identity**: A Critical Examination of Social Identity theory,"*Political Psychology* 22: 127156

Isaacs, Harold. 1975 dols of the Tribe. New York: Harper and Row.

United States, Annual Review of Political Science 22: 129146

lyengar, Shanto,tæl. 2019. "The Origins and Consequences of Affective Polarization in the United States," *Annual Review of Political Science* 22: 129146

Jardina, Ashley. 2019White Identity Politics. New York: Cambridge University Press

- RKQVRQ - DPHV \$FWL3F6QPEQGL7FKH / LPLWV ReditfedWUDWHJLF Power and Social Theory 7: 211-248.

Kahneman, D and Amos Tversky. 1979. "Prsopect Theory: An Analysis of Decision Under Risk," *Econometrica* 47: 263291

King, Desmond and Rogers Smith. 2005. "Racial Orders in American Political Development," *APSR*, 99:7592

King, Desomd and Rogers Smith. 2005. "Racial Orders in American Political Development," *APSR*, 99:7592

Kondo, Dorinne. 2009*Crafting Selves: Power, Gender, and Discourses of Identity in a Japanese Workplace*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press

Kondo, Dorinne. 2009*Crafting Selves: Power, Gender, and Discourses of Identity in a Japanese Workplace*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press

Levendusky, Matthew. 2009.

Smith, Rogers. 2020 *That is Not Who We Are! Populism and Peoplehood.* New Have: Yale University Press

Smith, Rogers. 2020 That is Not Who We Are! Populism and Peoplehood.

Figure 1 The Logic of Constrained Constructivism

